

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,488	12/12/2005	Gerald Lucovsky	5051-639	3119	
20792 MYERS BIGE	7590 06/10/200 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 37428			SANDVIK, BENJAMIN P		
RALEIGH, NO	2 27627		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2826		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/560,488
 LUCOVSKY ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 BENJAMIN P. SANDVIK
 2826

	BENJAMIN P. SANDVIK	2826					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>BENJAMIN P. SANDVIK</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Laura Kelley</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 28 May 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Buchanan et al (U.S. PG Pub #2002/0197789), Yao (U.S. Patent #6679996).							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the discussion pertained to the combination of Buchanan and Yao disclosing an amorphous oxide laver.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims							
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Ben P Sandvik/ Examiner, Art Unit 2826							